

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,795	05/21/1999	RONALD P. SANSONE	E-846	8309
919 7590 02/08/2009 PITNEY BOWES INC. 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NGA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: RONALD SANSONE, FRAN BLACKMAN, DANIEL DLUGOS, LEON PINTSOV, DENIS STEMMLE and FRANCIS HINES

> Application No. 09/316,795 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: February 3, 2009

Before TOI JOHNSON Review Paralegal JOHNSON, Review Paralegal.

#### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 12, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith

Application No. 09/316,795

being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

#### APPEAL BRIEF, HEADINGS

A review of the file indicates that the Appeal Brief filed March 5, 2008, does not comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c) because it does not contain all required heading items. Specifically, 37 CFR § 41.37 (c)(1) requires the following heading items in the following order:

- (i) Real party in interest.
- (ii) Related appeals and interferences.
- (iii) Status of claims.
- (iv) Status of amendments.
- (v) Summary of claimed subject matter.
- (vi) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.
- (vii) Argument.
- (viii) Claims appendix.
- (ix) Evidence appendix.
- $(x) \, Related \, proceedings \, appendix.$

An in-depth review of the Appeal Brief indicates that the following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed March 5, 2008:

- 1) "Evidence Appendix", and
- 2) "Related Proceedings Appendix".

A substitute brief that is in compliance with  $\S$  41.37(c) is required. See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP)  $\S$  1205.02 ( $\S$ <sup>th</sup> ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

#### CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on March 5, 2008 defective;
- notify Appellant to file a paper properly addressing the appendices;
- 3) acknowledge and consider the communication filed by Appellant to correct the appendices; and
  - 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

Application No. 09/316,795

PITNEY BOWES INC. 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-8000